PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

BIOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON



THE TYPE LOCALITY OF FELIS CONCOLOR CONCOLOR LINNAEUS

By Philip Hershkovitz Chicago Natural History Museum

The original description of *Felis concolor* Linnaeus (1771, Mantissa Plant., p. 522) is based on a diagnosis from Brisson and references to Marcgrave, Ray and Buffon, as follows.

"FELIS cauda elongata, corpore immaculato fulvo.

"Felis ex flavo rufescens mento et infimo ventre albicantibus. Briss[on, 1756, Regnum Animale] quadr. 272

"Cuguacu arana Margr[avius, 1648, Historia rerum naturalium Brasiliae], . . . 235, Raj. quadr. 169. Buff[on, 1761, Hist. Nat.] quadr. 9 t. 19

"Habitat in Brassilia."

Brisson's description of the puma is taken from Marcgrave and from several other references (Barrère, Ray, Klein) which are also based essentially on the Brazilian cuguacuarana of Marcgrave. Barrére (1741, Hist. Nat. France équinoxiale, p. 166), added a description of the puma of French Guiana to that of the cuguacuarana. On this authority, Brisson included Guiane as well as Brésil in the habitat of the cat. Buffon (supra cit., in text p. 217), gave the Guianas, Brazil, Paraguay, Peru, and eastern United States as the range. Linnaeus, nevertheless, gives only Brazil as the type locality. This action automatically restricts the type of Felis concolor Linnaeus to Marcgrave's cuguacuarana.

The precise place of origin of the puma described by Marcgrave is known. According to Thomas (1911, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, 1911: 123-124), "Marcgrave stayed at "Moritzstadt," now Recife, most of his time, 1640-1644, while even his excursions were limited to the coast region between 5° 45' and 11° 11' S., thus taking in little more than from Rio Grande do Norte to Alagoas, a region at the centre of which Pernambuco lies." Accordingly, authors have generally accepted "Pernambuco" as type locality of the animals described by Marcgrave.

In his preliminary revision of the pumas, Merriam (1901, Proc. Washington Acad. Sci., 3:593) gave "Brazil (probably southeastern Brazil)" as type locality. He regarded a skull from Piracicaba, São Paulo, as representative. Merriam had no more Brazilian material than this skull, said to be deformed, and another without particular locality. Insofar as Merriam identified his Brazilian specimens with the nominal species,

he was absolutely correct. The same would be true, had he identified Canadian pumas as zoological representatives of the *species*. On the other hand, treatment of the Piracicaba skull as "typical," by Nelson and Goldman (1929, Journ. Mammal., 10:345) and designation of the "vicinity of São Paulo" as type locality of the subspecies *Felis concolor concolor* Linnaeus is neither zoologically nor geographically correct.

In 1946, Goldman (in Young and Goldman, The puma, p. 202) recognized that his and Nelson's earlier designation of São Paulo as type locality was based "on an erroneous assumption." Unhappily, Goldman went on to reason that inasmuch as Linnaeus' principal [!] reference is to Brisson "who in turn cited Barrère as his first and main [!] authority," the type locality of concolor must be Cayenne, French Guiana. At the same time Goldman recognized Marcgrave's Brazilian cuguacuarana as the first synonym of the Linnaean concolor and thus nullified his own choice of French Guiana as type locality. Evidently, Goldman did not fully appreciate the fact that only Linnaeus, not Brisson or Barrère, is author of the name concolor, and that Linnaeus, not Goldman, is first reviser. Linnaeus' designation of Brazil as type locality and, indirectly, the cuguacuarana of Marcgrave as type by elimination, are unequivocal and incontrovertible.

The evidence presented here affects the status of each of several other names proposed for pumas. Felis concolor greeni Nelson and Goldman, based on a single specimen from Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil, is practically topotypical of the Linnaean concolor and becomes, therefore, an absolute synonym of it. Felis concolor capricornensis Goldman, based on the Piracicaba skull described by Merriam as abnormal, cannot be seriously regarded as distinct from any other Brazilian puma, particularly the nominate race.

The earliest available name for the puma of the Guianan region is Felis discolor Schreber. The name was proposed in 1775 in the caption to a colored plate (Säugthiere, 2: plate 104 B). The animal figured is a copy of the black tiger of Pennant (1771, Synopsis Quadr., pl. 181, fig. 2 [figure only, not text which is based primarily on the jaguarété of Marcgrave]. Schreber's formal description of discolor appeared two years later (1777, Säugthiere, 3:393, 586). It contains the appropriate bibliographic reference to Pennant, to the once of Des Marchais (1731, Voyage, 3:300) and an interrogatory reference to the Brazilian jaguarété of Marcgrave (1648, Hist, rerum Nat. Brasil., p. 235) which is a melanistic form of the jaguar (Felis onca Linnaeus). Schreber gave only South America as the habitat of discolor. Nothing is known of the place of origin of Pennant's black tiger but Des Marchais's once, which Schreber notes particularly, is from French Guiana. This country therefore, is here accepted as the restricted type locality of Felis concolor discolor Schreber.

Two names without descriptions proposed for pumas by Lesson (1842, Nouv. Tabl. Reg. Anim., p. 50), are wavula, from Demerara, British Guiana, and soasoaranna from the savannas of the Rio Orinoco, Venezuela (cf. Schomburgk, 1840, Ann. Nat. Hist., 4:325). Felis concolor anthonyi Nelson and Goldman (1931, Journ. Washington Acad. Sci., 21:209) from the Rio Orinoco at the base of Mt. Duida, Venezuela, is practically a topotype of soasoaranna. As Goldman (1946, The puma,

p. 200) places soasoaranna together with wavula in the synonymy of his concolor (= discolor Schreber) his treatment of anthonyi as a distinct subspecies is paradoxical. Actually the original description of Felis concolor anthonyi which is known from a single specimen, does not take into account either the real range of individual variation or the real geographic range of the individual and of the race to which it may belong. In any case, there is no convincing evidence that more than one kind of puma, namely, Felis concolor discolor Schreber, lives in the area embraced by the Rios Orinoco-Negro and Amazonas as well as the whole of the Amazonian drainage basin east of the Andes.

The above discussion may be summarized by the following classification.

Felis concolor discolor Schreber

- Felis discolor Schreber, 1775, Säugthiere, 2:plite 104 B (animal ex Pennant, 1771, Syn. Quadr., pl. 181, fig. 2). Schreber, 1777, Säugthiere, 3:393, 586—description.
- [Felis concolor] var. Wavula Lesson, 1842, Nouv. Tabl. Reg. Anim., p. 50—type locality, Demerara, Br. Guiana; nomen nudum?
- Felis concolor var. soasoaranna Lesson, 1842, Nouv. Tabl. Reg. Anim., p. 50—type locality savannas of the Río Orinoco, Venezuela; nomen nudum?
- Felis concolor var. Niger Lesson, 1842, Nouv. Tabl. Reg. Anim., p. 50—part, new name for Felis discolor Schreber.
- Felis concolor anthonyi Nelson and Goldman, 1931, Journ. Washington Acad. Sci., 21:209—type locality, Playa del Rio Base, southeast base of Cerro Duida, Amazonas, Venezuela.
- Felis concolor borbensis Nelson and Goldman, 1933, Journ. Washington Acad. Sci., 23:524—type locality, Borba, Rio Madeira, Amazonas, Brazil.
- Felis concolor concolor, Goldman (not Linnaeus), in Young and Goldman, 1946, The puma, mysterious American cat, p. 200.

Felis concolor concolor Linnaeus

- [Felis] concolor Linnaeus, 1771, Mantissa Plant., p. 522—name based primarily on the cuguacuarana of Marcgrave; type locality, Pernambuco, Brazil, by restriction (cf. Thomas, 1911, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, 1911:123-124).
- Felis sucuacuara Liais, 1872, Climats, géologie, faune et géographie botanique du Brésil, p. 461—type locality, Brazil, here restricted to the lower Rio São Francisco whence Liais recorded most of the animals he described.
- Felis concolor greeni Nelson and Goldman, 1931, Journ. Mammal., 21: 211—type locality, Curraes Novos, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.
- Felis concolor capricornensis Goldman, in Young and Goldman, 1946, The puma, mysterious American cat, p. 246—type locality, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil.